

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,893	08/31/2001	Juergen Reinold	IA00008	4079
22863	7590 07/30/20	03		
MOTOROLA, INC.			EXAMINER	
3102 NORTH	ELAW DEPARTMI 56TH STREET	INT - #56-238	STULBERGER, CAS P	
PHOENIX, AZ 85018			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2132	
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2003	, k

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/944,893	REINOLD ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Cas Stulberger ears on the cover sheet with the c	2132				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	r election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 August 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> <li>application from the International Bu</li> <li>See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).				
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/944,893

Art Unit: 2132

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6, and 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,995,512 to Pogue Jr. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,991,401 to Daniels et al.

In regards to claims 1-4, 9-12, and 15, Pogue Jr. discloses data network capable of transmitting audio, video, data, low-bandwidth control data, and other similar signals (Pogue: column 1, lines 6-9). Pogue gives an example of connecting a remote CD player (first device) and an audio processor/amplifier (second device) with the existing network. Both the CD player and the audio processor/amplifier can be configured to interface with the network data bus at the network data rate while at the same time interfacing with the CD player and audio processor (Pogue: column 3, lines 39-55). This meets the limitation of "a first device and second device and an active network communicatively coupling the first device and the second device for the communication of data between the first device and the second device." Pogue also discloses that preferred operating environment is a transportation vehicle such as a car, van, truck, bus, train, or airplane (Pogue: column 7, lines 1-3). Pogue however does not disclose encrypting the data..

Daniels et al discloses a network in which a packet is encrypted with an encryption key before it is sent out (Daniels: column 3, lines 45-51). This meets the limitation of "wherein the Application/Control Number: 09/944,893

Art Unit: 2132

data packets are individually encrypted." The receiving computer decrypts the incoming packet that is encrypted (Daniels: column 3, lines 56-63). This meets the limitation of "the active network being operable to encrypt the data."

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the vehicle network as disclosed by Pogue with the method of encrypting packets being sent in a network with the method as disclosed by Daniels in order to provide an improved method and system for providing data security in a computer system (Daniels: column 1, lines 65-67).

In regards to claims 8, 13, and 14, Pogue however does not disclose error detection based on encryption. Daniels discloses that an incoming packet is decrypted and then encrypted utilizing an encryption key identical to an encryption key employed by the client. The encrypted packet is determined as to whether it is identical to the incoming packet. Any such packet that does not meet this criterion is rejected (Daniels: column 2, lines 5-10).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the vehicle network as disclosed by Pogue with the method of error detection as disclosed by Daniels in order to reject potentially harmful packets (Daniels: column 4, lines 48-53).

In regards to claim 6, Pogue does not disclose a bridge. Daniels however discloses the CPU, ROM, and DRAM are also coupled to a PCI local bus of the computer of system through a PCI host bridge (Daniels: column 2, lines 58-60).

Application/Control Number: 09/944,893

Art Unit: 2132

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the vehicle network as disclosed by Pogue with the bridge of Daniels in order to provide a high bandwidth path allowing PCI devices to directly access DRAM (Daniels: column 2, lines 64-65).

3. Claims 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,995,512 to Pogue Jr. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,991,401 to Daniels et al and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,101,599 to Wright et al.

In regards to claims 5 and 7, Pogue however does not disclose a switch or a router.

Wright discloses a network with a switch and a router (Wright: Figure 1).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the vehicle network as disclosed by Pogue with the switch and router of Wright in order to accomplish path switching and forwarding decision capabilities of packets in a network (Wright: column 2, lines 45-61).

## Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cas Stulberger whose telephone number is (703) 305-8034. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 7:30A.M. - 5:00P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (703) 305-1830. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 2132

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

CS July 23, 20037

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100